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ORIGINAL ARTICLES

Background: Traditionally, the curriculum in Australian and New Zealand dental schools has largely ignored the need for future dentists to receive foundational education in the field of sleep medicine. The absence of official education accreditation standards means this increasing part of dental practice continues as a continuing education activity without proper accountability by organized dentistry. This manuscript evaluates the current status of education in sleep disorders to predoctoral dental students.

Methods: All 10 dental schools in Australia and New Zealand were surveyed for information regarding their sleep medicine curriculum during the 2011 academic year. The head of each dental school or relevant course coordinator responded to a questionnaire.

Results: One dental school did not respond, and 3 dental schools were unable to complete the survey, as they had not graduated a class. Therefore 6 of the potential 7 dental schools (85.7%) completed the survey. The average total predoctoral sleep medicine teaching time was 4.5 hours (SD 2.51; range 2 to 8 h). Five of the 6 dental schools spent most of their sleep medicine curriculum time teaching in the fifth year of 5-year programs (mean of 2.5 h; SD 2.88). Education time spent in sleep medicine was 55% didactic. All responding dental schools reviewed obstructive sleep apnea, 83% reviewed sleep bruxism, and 67% reviewed primary snoring.

Conclusions: Although a definite beginning, current sleep medicine education at Australian and New Zealand dental schools still seems to be at an exposure level, and likely inadequate for competency in screening for sleep related breathing disorders as the primary requirement. It also seems to be minimal foundation for participating as a future dentist member of the sleep medicine team, which with further post graduation training may include providing oral appliance therapy for sleep disordered breathing when appropriate. This appears to be a similar outcome to the level of education in sleep medicine provided in the United States dental school predoctoral programs to date.
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The field of dental sleep medicine (DSM) has become an area of importance for comprehensive dental education, needed for contemporary dental practice.1 Traditionally, the curriculum in Australian and New Zealand dental schools has largely ignored the need for dentists to be educated in the field of sleep medicine, except for sleep bruxism. The education and training has been largely left to continuing education with little foundational oversight by organized dentistry or dental school accreditation standards within the context of the sleep medicine team.

The introduction and validation of oral appliances for the treatment of snoring and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) has through years of research, resulted in dentists becoming involved in the treatment of patients with sleep disordered breathing (SDB).2,3 SDB is a group of common problems involving difficulties in breathing during sleep that may range from socially embarrassing snoring to severe and life-threatening OSA. These are caused primarily by negative pressures in the collapsible upper airway, which compromise the anatomic and physiologic capacity to maintain an adequate airway lumen during the various stages of sleep.4 SDB has been recognized to be a major risk factor for morbidity and mortality.5,6 There are cardiovascular, metabolic, and cognitive issues with undiagnosed and untreated OSA. Specifically, OSA has been linked to systemic hypertension, myocardial infarction, stroke, congestive heart failure, atrial fibrillation, carotid artery atherosclerosis, glucose intolerance, diabetes, depression, and excessive daytime sleepiness.7,9 Presence of any of these histories in the dental patient should precipitate questions about sleep disorders, even if the dentist is not involved in active treatments. Dentists can potentially treat OSA through growth and development orthodontic intervention in children,10 oral appliance therapy,11 or orthognathic surgery.12 Based upon the significant percentage of the population with OSA, ranging from 10% to 26% in Australian surveys,13 it is incumbent upon all health care providers to screen for these problems. Since dentists routinely examine the oral cavity, they also have a clear view of the oropharynx and are uniquely positioned to screen for potential anatomic risk features in patients with subjective or partner reports of SDB, unrestful sleep, or in patients reporting potentially associated medical problems. Therefore dentists could readily have an impact on the health status of our society if trained to a level of competency in sleep medicine.14 Screening as part of a wellness practice philosophy can evolve into active treatment by dentists subsequent to further training additional to a foundational dental school curriculum.

The American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) practice parameters recommend that the “oral appliance should be fitted by qualified dental personnel who are trained and experienced in the overall care of oral health, the temporomandibular joint, dental occlusion, and associated oral structures. Dental management of patients with oral appliances should be overseen by practitioners who have undertaken serious training in sleep
Australia and New Zealand agree that dentists should be trained in the field of DSM if they choose to be involved in treating patients with SDB. However, all trainees should first be competent in conducting a routine sleep disorder history screening in a new patient as part of routine dental care.

Given the potential societal advantages of training the next generation of dentists in the field of DSM at Australian and New Zealand dental schools, the authors carried out an investigation of current predoctoral dental curriculum in both countries. The purpose was to establish the number of dental schools in Australia and New Zealand that include at least some DSM training at the predoctoral level and the current status of this education. Insight is anticipated for evolving structured and calibrated dental school sleep medicine education that will eventually become part of dental school accreditation standards and the foundation for standards of care in dental practice.

METHODS

All 10 dental schools in Australia and New Zealand were sent a survey to gather information regarding their sleep medicine curriculum for the 2011 academic year. The battery of questions was based upon a study conducted on American dental schools. There were 8 categories in the questionnaire, which included: (1) hours spent teaching sleep medicine, (2) teaching methods, (3) department(s) involved in teaching, (4) topics discussed, (5) diagnosis reviewed, (6) all therapies discussed, (7) aspects of oral appliance therapy discussed, and (8) discussion of contemporary topics. (Appendix)

The questionnaires were mailed or e-mailed to the heads of all the dental schools in Australia and New Zealand who were instructed to forward it to the relevant course coordinator if necessary. The results were tabulated in Excel and analyzed.

RESULTS

Nine of 10 dental schools responded to the survey. Three of the recently established dental schools were unable to complete the questionnaire, as their curriculum was underdeveloped and they had not yet graduated a class. The other 6 dental schools completed the survey, resulting in 85.7% response rate for schools that had graduated a class.

The average total undergraduate teaching time was 4.5 h (SD 2.51) (range 2 to 8 h) among the 6 schools engaged in some teaching of sleep medicine in their curriculum. Figure 1 summarizes the range of predoctoral teaching time in sleep medicine at each of the Australian and New Zealand dental schools.

It was apparent that 5 of the 6 dental schools spent most of their sleep medicine curriculum time teaching in the fifth year (of a 5-year course) with a mean of 2.5 h (SD 2.88); followed by the fourth and third years with a mean of 0.83 h (SD 0.75) each (Figure 2). Only 2 of the 6 dental schools spent time teaching sleep medicine in the second year with an average of 0.33 h (SD 0.51). Sleep medicine was not part of the curriculum in the first year of dental school in any of the reporting Universities.

With regard to the learning experience of dental students, 55% of education time in sleep medicine was didactic, ranging from 1 hour to 4 hours. Two of the 6 dental schools had only a didactic component. One dental school had a 1-h hands-on...
Four of the 6 dental schools had a clinical component in their sleep medicine curriculum, which accounted for 41% of education time spent ranging from 0 to 5 hours. Detailed analysis revealed 2 of the 6 dental schools had a case-by-case and in-clinic discussion with 1 and 4 hours spent, respectively. Two of the dental schools had required rotation or clinical observation with 1 and 5 hours spent, respectively.

Two of the 6 dental schools teaching sleep medicine had multiple dental departments contributing to the undergraduate dental curriculum. In one dental school, the oral medicine and orofacial pain departments were co-involved in teaching sleep medicine. In the other dental school, the teaching was divided between the oral medicine, oral and maxillofacial surgery, and prosthodontic departments. The oral medicine specialty was the most commonly involved department teaching the sleep medicine curriculum (4 of the 6 dental schools). Of interest, all teaching was undertaken at the undergraduate level. None of the dental schools reported involvement in teaching sleep medicine at the postgraduate or dental specialty program level. There is no information in the survey about any curriculum coordination between departments.

With regard to sleep medicine topics discussed, all 6 responding dental schools discussed SDB, and 67% of dental schools discussed sleep bruxism (SB). The other topics discussed are summarized in Figure 3. All 6 responding dental schools reviewed OSA as a diagnosis. A high percentage of dental schools reviewed the diagnosis of SB and primary snoring (83% and 67%, respectively). Fifty percent of dental schools reviewed the diagnosis of restless legs syndrome and upper airway resistance syndrome. Periodic limb movement disorder and insomnia were discussed by 33% and 17% of dental schools, respectively.

Of note, the diagnosis of sleep phase shifts and REM behavior disorder were not discussed at all.

Dental school curriculum often involved discussion regarding various therapies for SDB (Figure 4). All dental schools involved in teaching sleep medicine covered the therapeutic interventions of continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) and oral appliance therapy (OAT) in treating SDB. Four of the 6 responding dental schools discussed upper airway surgical therapies. Similarly, 4 responding dental schools discussed oral and maxillofacial surgical therapies (orthognathic surgery) for SDB. Most dental schools discussed various aspects of oral appliance therapy for SDB (Figure 5).

Figure 6 summarizes the percentage of the responding dental schools involved in discussion of contemporary topics in the field of sleep medicine. The medical consequences of untreated SDB were discussed by 67% of the responding dental schools teaching sleep medicine. Only 50% of the responding dental schools taught topics related to coordinated care with sleep physicians, diagnostic need and interpretation of sleep studies, and the psychological consequences of untreated SDB. This questions how much DSM is being taught within the concept of the sleep medicine team. Only 17% of the responding dental schools taught the use of screening questionnaires for use in catching occult or undiagnosed sleep disorders.

**DISCUSSION**

Six of the seven responding dental schools engaging in some teaching of sleep medicine in their curriculum (85.7%) averaged 4.5 total hours, ranging from 2 to 8 hours. This is comparable with the average time of 3.92 hours spent teaching this topic at United States (US) dental schools that taught sleep medicine. However, it should be noted that 24.5% of US dental schools responding reported they did not cover the topic of sleep medicine at all.1
Didactic education accounted for 55% of the time spent teaching sleep medicine. Conversely, the clinical component accounted for 41% of the education time in four dental schools, whereby time was spent either discussing cases or attending rotations or clinical observations (situation and responsibility undefined). Considering the overall low number of total hours, this suggests that sleep medicine, including sleep bruxism, does not have substantial pre-clinical foundation in the dental school curriculums, and likely does not go beyond an exposure-to competency. A preclinical hands-on experience was only reported by one school (4% of education time spent). Clinical experiences seem to have been mostly observational.

The current study found that most of the time spent teaching sleep medicine in dental schools (55%) was in the fifth year, which is typically a more clinically oriented year. One responding dental school taught the use of screening questionnaires, but it is not defined if any questions were being used in an oral diagnosis clinic in routine new patient intake. Sleep medicine was not offered as an elective in any of the dental schools surveyed. In their study of US dental schools, Simmons and Pullinger found didactic teaching made up 78.4% of time spent in sleep medicine and clinical teaching spent in sleep medicine was 35%. Overall, it appears the results of this Australia and New Zealand study were comparable to the current curriculum training experience of dental students in the US.

Sleep medicine education is no longer elective and definitely has a beginning presence in most Australian, New Zealand, and US dental schools, reflecting the scope of activities in contemporary dental practice, and probably individual faculty interest and expertise. However, the educational goals and competency standards aimed for in general dental programs, beyond exposure-to levels, needs discussion to evolve national education standards for a foundational curriculum and practice parameters on which to build post graduation, and to take into dental specialty training.

In the current study, the oral medicine department was most frequently involved in teaching the sleep medicine, often with additional departments involved, or perhaps teaching in parallel. This survey did not explore how individual departments coordinate and communicate with regard to topics covered or purpose. This was also a concern at US dental schools teaching sleep medicine. All the Australia and New Zealand dental schools mention or discuss SDB; however, surprisingly only 67% discussed sleep bruxism (SB), which remains the most managed sleep disorder in dentistry. Of interest, snoring, which is often a symptom of OSA, was discussed in only 67% of dental schools. A possible explanation could be preliminary nature of the education, whereby the details of differentiating symptomatic snoring from asymptomatic snoring was not discussed. Nevertheless, this is important to standards of care if dentistry becomes involved, to avoid practice of treating social snoring without a definitive sleep disorder diagnosis. In addition, more advanced sleep medicine topics were rarely or not at all discussed at the dental schools further, highlighting the superficial nature of the current education of sleep medicine at dental schools. More advanced sleep medicine topics are important if dentists are to become involved with medical colleagues in a sleep medicine team. While all dental schools discussed the two most common therapies for SDB, namely CPAP and OAT, other therapies including upper airway and oral and maxillofacial surgeries were not universally covered in the curriculum. Similar findings were noted at US dental schools.

The field of DSM is a growing area of interest within dentistry. Dentists are being called upon by their medical colleagues to collaboratively treat patients with SDB. However, the
question remains whether dentists have the foundational education required to competently treat patients with SDB. To date, there has been no formal study assessing educational preparedness of dentists in the field of sleep medicine in Australia and New Zealand. Bian in 2004 surveyed dentists in Indiana, USA, and found an overall deficiency in education regarding OSA and OAT.\textsuperscript{19} Undergraduate and postgraduate training were only reported by 16\% and 30\% of respondents, respectively. Thirty-two percent reportedly were self-taught. Of concern, 58\% of responders were unable to identify common signs and symptoms of OSA, and 40\% stated knowing little or nothing about OSA.

Mindell et al. studied sleep education in medical school curriculum across countries.\textsuperscript{20} Findings revealed only 6 of the 19 medical schools in Australia responded to the survey. It was noted that 369 minutes was spent teaching sleep medicine in Australian medical schools, which was higher than the average of 146 minutes spent in other medical schools sampled from various parts of the world. Regardless, this highlights the limited time spent teaching sleep medicine at medical schools in Australia, which is problematic if the dentist is expecting their patient’s physician to be knowledgeable. These findings were consistent with other studies, underscoring deficiencies in sleep medicine education in medical schools in the United States.\textsuperscript{21,22} Of interest, training in nursing schools in sleep medicine in the US is also not established, but it has been recommended that 40 hours education be required, which raises the requirement bar considerably.\textsuperscript{23}

The Australian Dental Council (ADC) is an independent body for dental education and training in Australia. It is an external accreditation authority for the Dental Board of Australia. It sets the standard required of newly qualified dentists to be considered “competent” to be able to care for the Australian public. Of concern, the ADC does not require competency in the field of DSM. In fact, this area of dentistry is not mentioned at all in the document on “Professional attributes and competencies of the newly qualified dentist”.\textsuperscript{24} Similarly, the NZDC and the New Zealand Dental Association do not address competency in the field of DSM.\textsuperscript{18} It is therefore not surprising that very little time is spent teaching sleep medicine at Australian and New Zealand dental schools. The administrators of dental schools could consider allocating more time teaching subjects such as DSM as part of foundational competencies. The current teaching of sleep medicine at dental schools might currently serve as a good introduction to the field but appears insufficient to safely treat patients with potentially associated serious medical conditions as required by the ASA and ADA guidelines.

The authors believe it is the responsibility of dental schools to provide foundational competencies in the field of DSM as required in all other aspects of dentistry. Universities should be the leaders in providing standards of care to reflect contemporary practice, and to protect patients. Competencies in DSM have been recommended by Simmons and Pullinger.\textsuperscript{1} All new graduates should be moderately competent and confident in new patient intake sleep history triage through portal questions. All new graduates should be able to conduct a more comprehensive sleep history in symptomatic patients and in patients with medical histories and comorbidities, which have associations with SDB. Comprehensive history can be augmented by self-scoring published sleep questionnaires, combined with clinical examination for oropharyngeal anatomic risk factors.

Understanding the need and mechanism for referral for in lab polysomnography or out of sleep center test and motivating the patient to act requires an understanding and discussion of the potential medical consequences of untreated SDB. The dental graduate must be able to identify, refer, and document patients suspected of having SDB to their physician to request a sleep study and sleep diagnosis. Competency can only be achieved in these history and clinical screening requirements if they are routinely included in the Oral Diagnosis intake clinic process in dental schools for all patients, and the standard patient database. This is considered the first important step and is a requirement for all of dentistry and not limited to a DSM expert clinic. The next level involves more training and potential treatment based on understanding of the outcomes of the medical sleep test and report. If suggested by the sleep physician, the dental graduate must be able to assess for suitability for an oral appliance for patients with SDB, provided they have received further clinical training post-graduation to “qualified” status or make the referral to such qualified dentist. “Qualified” dentists would provide follow-up care and testing as recommended by the AASM practice parameters.\textsuperscript{11} Similar, recommendations have been made for training in the US\textsuperscript{2}; however, as of this date, the American Dental Education Association and the Council on Dental Accreditation have yet to implement foundational standards for DSM training in the US. Meanwhile, implementation and the scope of a school’s DSM curriculum depend on the insight of each dental dean and the faculty, supported also by the input of recognized sleep medicine academies.

CONCLUSION

Dentists are uniquely positioned to help screen and co-treat SDB in a multidisciplinary approach, as part of a patients’ sleep medicine team. It is no longer a question of whether dentists can help identify undiagnosed and untreated SDB conditions, but rather how best to implement and participate in the field of sleep medicine. Currently there is an awareness level of education in most Australian and New Zealand dental schools; however, this falls short in foundational, screening, and treatment competencies. By working towards incorporating DSM education into dental school curriculum standards, dentists can improve quality of life, reduce the medical costs, protect patients, and create a greater awareness of the medical and social importance of good sleep.
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APPENDIX

Study on Sleep Disordered Breathing

By Dr. Ramesh Balasubramaniam

Dear Dr. ________________,

The topic of Sleep Disordered Breathing ranging from primary snoring to severe sleep apnoea is currently becoming an area of high interest in many dental practices. However trickle down into dental school curricula seems to be only at its very early stages of development. As a result, mainstream Dentistry seems little involved to date in establishment of standards of care in general practice, beyond its traditional interest in sleep bruxism. Meanwhile, Medicine voices increasing concern about the consequences of sleep pathology and dysfunction, and Dentistry wants to maintain a role in and contribution to a multidisciplinary team in Sleep Medicine.

This brief study seeks to survey how much training our dental students currently receive in the area of Dental Sleep Medicine (DSM) and the field of Sleep. We sincerely request that you answer this brief 8 question questionnaire or send it on to the respective department(s) for completion. Many thanks in advance for your consideration and prompt response.

For the 2011 Academic year please answer the following questions for your Bachelor of Dental Science program. Please circle all that apply or write in correct answers.

1. How many class or clinic hours are spent on teaching topics of Sleep Medicine in each program year.

   a. 1st Year 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 > 8
   b. 2nd Year 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 > 8
   c. 3rd Year 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 > 8
   d. 4th Year 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 > 8
   e. 5th Year 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 > 8

2. Is this experience (hours): Didactic? Preclinical laboratory? Clinical

   a. 1st Year
   b. 2nd Year
   c. 3rd Year
   d. 4th Year
   e. 5th Year

3. Which departments in your School teach about sleep disordered breathing: (please circle all that apply)

   a. Undergraduate Oral Medicine
d. Undergraduate Orofacial Pain
   b. Undergraduate Oral Surgery  e. Undergraduate Prosthodontics/Restorative
c. Undergraduate Orthodontics  f. Other Undergraduate: ________________
g. Post-graduate program:________________

4. Which topics, as classified by the American Academy of Sleep Medicine*, are discussed: (please circle all that apply)

   a. Insomnia*   e. Parasomnias*
b. Sleep related breathing disorders* f. Sleep related movement disorders*
c. Hypersomnias of central origin* g. Other sleep disorders*
d. Circadian rhythm sleep disorders* h. Sleep bruxism

Appendix continues on the following page
5. Which Diagnoses are reviewed: *(please circle all that apply)*
   a. Primary snoring
   b. Upper airway resistance syndrome
   c. Obstructive sleep apnoea
   d. REM behaviour disorder
   e. Restless leg syndrome
   f. Insomnia
   g. Periodic leg movement disorder
   h. Sleep phase shifts
   i. Sleep bruxism

6. Which Therapies for Sleep Disordered Breathing are discussed: *(please circle all that apply)*
   a. CPAP
   b. Oral appliance therapy
   c. ENT Surgical therapies
   d. Oral Surgery *(e.g. mandibular advancement)*
   e. Orthodontic approaches
   f. Other: *(please state)*

7. Which aspects of appliance treatment of Sleep Disordered Breathing are introduced: *(please circle all that apply)*
   a. Different designs available
   b. Fabrication and mandibular position
   c. Insertion and instructions for use
   d. Follow up and adjustments
   e. Complications and remedies
   f. Case indication for use

8. What other topics are discussed: *(please circle all that apply)*
   a. Use of sleep and daytime somnolence questionnaire instruments
   b. Impairment *(e.g. Drowsy driving, work performance, direct and indirect costs)*
   c. Medical consequences of untreated Sleep Disordered Breathing
   d. Psychosocial consequences of untreated Sleep Disordered Breathing
   e. Sleep laboratory Studies – polysomnograms & their interpretation
   f. Coordinated care with Sleep Physicians
   g. Ambulatory sleep testing equipment – home testing.
   h. State law

Any other comments or concerns are appreciated:

Questionnaire completed by: ______________________________ Title: __________

Department: __________________ Dental School: __________________ Date: __________

Please return this questionnaire by mail or fax *(08) 9382-2328 Attn: Ramesh Balasubramaniam,
Perth Oral Medicine & Dental Sleep Centre. Suite 311, 25 McCourt Street. Subiaco, Western Australia

Many thanks for your time, consideration and response.
Sincerely,

Ramesh Balasubramaniam
Clinical Associate Professor
The University of Western Australia